The endocannabinoid system in targeting inflammatory neurodegenerative diseases
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The classical divide between degenerative and inflammatory disorders of the CNS is vanishing as accumulating evidence shows that inflammatory processes are important in the pathophysiology of primarily degenerative disorders, and neurodegeneration complicates primarily inflammatory diseases of the brain and spinal cord. Here, we review the contribution of degenerative and inflammatory processes to CNS disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple sclerosis and HIV-associated dementia. An early combination of neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory approaches to these disorders seems particularly desirable because isolated treatment of one pathological process might worsen another. We also discuss the apparently unique opportunity to modify neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation simultaneously by pharmacological manipulation of the endocannabinoid system in the CNS and in peripheral immune cells. Current knowledge of this system and its involvement in the above CNS disorders are also reviewed.

Inflammation is linked to neurodegeneration

A strong link between inflammation and neurodegeneration has recently emerged with evidence indicating that the two processes coexist from the very early stages of both classical neurodegenerative disorders and classical inflammatory diseases of the CNS. Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Parkinson’s disease (PD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) are among the best examples of neurodegenerative disorders associated with intense inflammation, whereas multiple sclerosis (MS) and HIV-associated dementia are inflammatory disorders that lead to diffuse neuronal damage (see Glossary). Indeed, recognition of the inflammatory reaction accompanying neurodegeneration and the neurodegeneration accompanying inflammation is not new. For example, activation of microglia and of astrocytes, which are part of the innate immune system in the CNS, has been identified as a cardinal feature of AD pathology in the brain. Similarly, neuronal injury has been known to be involved in MS since the first description of the disease by Charcot [1]. Such findings did not attract much attention in the past, however, because reactive gliosis was considered to be only an unspecified, scar-like response to neuronal death during

Glossary

Alzheimer’s disease (AD): a chronic degenerative disorder of the CNS that afflicts more than 4 000 000 people in the USA alone; AD accounts also for the most common form of dementia in the elderly. Neuritic plaques, fibillary tangles, neuronal loss, damaged synaptic connections and reactive gliosis are the pathological hallmarks of AD. Neuritic plaques comprise mainly deposits of β-amyloid peptide in the extracellular space, and are formed owing to abnormal processing of the amyloid precursor protein. The β-amyloid peptide deposits are thought to exert toxic effects in nearby neurons, leading to hyperphosphorylation of tau and to intraneuronal aggregates of this protein. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS): a fatal neurodegenerative disorder that selectively damages upper and lower motor neurons of the spinal cord, brainstem and motor cortex. The clinical manifestations of this disorder include progressive weakness, spasticity and muscular atrophy. Most cases of ALS are sporadic, but about 10% are familial. Mutations of the gene encoding Cu2+-Zn2+ superoxide dismutase (SOD1) account for ~20% of the genetically determined forms of ALS. Mutations of other genes, such as those encoding alsin, the vesicle-associated membrane protein VAPB and senataxin (a protein involved in RNA processing) have also been identified as causes of familial forms of ALS.

HIV-associated dementia: in the USA, HIV-associated dementia is among the most common causes of dementia in young adults. An estimated 10% of HIV-infected individuals develop significant cognitive and behavioral dysfunctions despite adequate antiretroviral therapy, and neuropathological alterations of the CNS can be identified at autopsy in over 90% of HIV-positive individuals. Perivascular macrophages and microglia are the principal infected cells in the brain. Immune activation of these cells results in the secretion of several bioactive molecules, such as cytokines, chemokines and neurotoxins.

Innate immunity: a native, non-specific immunity that is present at birth in all species. It is also known as hereditary (genetic) or constitutive immunity and represents the first line of defense for non-immunized subjects. Innate immunity depends on phagocytes, natural killer cells, lysosomes, interferon and the complement complex, and also engages brain astroglia and microglia.

Multiple sclerosis (MS): the most common cause of neurological disability in young adults. Recurrent inflammation of the white matter of the CNS is the primary hallmark of the disease, at least in the early phases. Environmental factors in genetically susceptible individuals are thought to trigger immune-mediated aggregation of central myelin in MS. The resulting inflammatory demyelination causes various clinical signs and symptoms, depending on the specific myelinated tract that is interrupted during each attack of the disease.

Parkinson’s disease (PD): a chronic, progressive neurodegenerative disorder. The main pathological feature of PD is the degeneration of dopamine-containing neurons of the substantia nigra, leading to severe dopamine denervation of the striatum. The irreversible loss of the dopamine-mediated control of striatal function results in the typical motor symptoms observed in PD (i.e. bradykinesia, tremor and rigidity).
degenerative damage, and neuronal loss was thought to be a late consequence of axon demyelination in MS.

Recent discoveries have now imposed reconsideration of the perceived relationship between inflammation and neurodegeneration, by making it clear that one is not simply a culmination of the other. Indeed, inflammation and neurodegeneration seem to occur in parallel rather than in series, and thus have a mutual influence on many neurological diseases. Common molecular pathways that bring these two processes together have been described [2,3], in addition to the capacity of activated immune cells to damage neurons in the absence of any antigen specificity [4,5] and the ability of damaged neurons to trigger local immune responses [6].

On the basis of these considerations, an early combination of neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory strategies seems a rational approach to CNS diseases, irrespective of the nature of the primary insult. Such an approach is particularly desirable because isolated treatment of one pathological process might even worsen another. In treatment of MS with interferon-β, for example, the inflammatory component of the disease is controlled, whereas neuronal survival can be negatively affected, by this immunomodulatory agent [7].

In this review, we discuss the contribution of inflammation to neurodegenerative diseases and, conversely, that of degeneration to neuroinflammatory disorders. In addition, we review the current knowledge of the endocannabinoid system and its involvement in these CNS disorders.

**Inflammation in neurodegenerative disorders**

The identification of brain astroglia and microglia as important components of innate immunity has been crucial to understanding the role of inflammation in neurodegenerative disorders. Reactive gliosis, in fact, is well-known to accompany both acute and chronic neuronal damage, but its importance as part of a harmful inflammatory response had been neglected until recently.

Activated astroglia can mediate both protective and toxic effects during neurodegenerative diseases [8]. By contrast, microglia have an active role in the defensive attack against viruses and bacteria, but intense microglial activation, such as that produced to clear up apoptotic cells or neuron debris during neurodegenerative disorders, can be detrimental to the survival of neighboring cells. Cytokines, bioactive peptides produced through complement activation, and other soluble factors mediate the toxic effects of microglia-produced inflammatory milieu on neurons [3,9]. Indeed, several inflammatory mediators are increased in the brain tissues or cerebrospinal fluid of individuals affected with AD, PD and ALS [3,9].

**Alzheimer’s disease**

Since the discovery of MHC class II antigens in the microglia surrounding amyloid plaques and dystrophic neuritis, several inflammatory processes have been described in the brains of individuals affected with AD [10]. These processes include complement and glial cell activation, acute phase protein synthesis, and chemokine expression [3,9]. The role of inflammatory neurodegeneration in AD is supported by studies demonstrating associations between the disease and polymorphisms in genes encoding some cytokines or acute phase proteins [11], and by data showing the protective action against the disease exerted by anti-inflammatory agents [12].

**Parkinson’s disease**

More recently, the importance of neuroinflammation in PD pathophysiology has also emerged. Indeed, activated microglia have been described in close proximity to degenerating dopamine neurons in individuals with PD, and activation of microglia into the substantia nigra has been shown to cause selective destruction of dopamine neurons [13]. Furthermore, experimental parkinsonism, induced by neurotoxins specific to dopamine neurons, is coupled with the activation of nigral and striatal microglia and with the production of proinflammatory molecules. In addition, in models of PD, inhibition of microglia is neuroprotective [3]. As in AD, polymorphisms of some cytokines have been identified as risk factors for PD, whereas epidemiological studies have reported that chronic users of anti-inflammatory drugs have a decreased risk for PD [14].

By contrast, recent data have shown that prior delivery of a peripheral, pro-inflammatory stimulus induces neuroprotection in a rodent model of PD. This protective effect is paralleled by a concomitant reduction in the associated microglial response and moderate, transient increases in cytokine levels at the sites of neurodegeneration [15]. Therefore, modulation of the neuroprotective effect of peripheral inflammation might be exploited for improving the treatment of PD.

**Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis**

A strong inflammatory process has been described in the brains of individuals with ALS, and a correlation between the intensity of inflammation and progression of the disease has also been observed [16]. Studies on postmortem spinal cord tissues have found that the number of activated microglia is greater in individuals with ALS than in controls [17]. Further evidence of microglial involvement in ALS pathology has come from studies showing pro-survival effects of the pharmacological suppression of microglial activation on rat spinal cord neurons exposed to cerebrospinal fluid from individuals with ALS [18]. Lastly, anti-inflammatory agents prolong the survival of transgenic mice expressing human SOD1 with a G93A mutation (hSOD1G93A), an animal model of familial ALS [19], and a clinical trial exploring the effect of a immunomodulatory agent in individuals with ALS is currently ongoing [20].

**Neurodegeneration in inflammatory disorders**

Neurons are unusual targets of inflammatory diseases. Normally, in fact, they do not express MHC molecules, which is an essential requirement for cell susceptibility to immune attacks [1]. Nevertheless, the neuronal compartment of the CNS is frequently injured during inflammatory responses against glial cells, as is the case in MS, a chronic inflammatory disease of oligodendrocytes and myelin sheaths, and in HIV-associated dementia, a disorder secondary to HIV infection of microglia. Inflammatory autoimmune responses can
also counteract neurodegeneration, however, indicating that the relationship between the two processes is far more complex [21].

**Multiple sclerosis**
Accumulating evidence indicates that neurodegeneration might occur not only as a late consequence of axon demyelination in MS, but also as a very early event in the course of the disease. Accordingly, neuronal damage and axonal loss are common and abundant in MS, affecting both overt inflammatory lesions and normal-appearing white matter [22]. In addition, neuronal loss in gray matter also contributes to brain damage in MS, as indicated by the extensive cortical and subcortical deposition of iron, caudate atrophy and neuronal apoptosis observed in MS brains.

Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the massive involvement of neurons in MS, including axon transection by cytotoxic T cells and damage by soluble products released by resident and invading inflammatory cells. These products include axon-specific antibodies, complement, nitric oxide, oxygen radicals, proteases and eicosanoids [23]. Acquired neuronal channelopathies, altered activity of Na"+-Ca"+ exchangers, glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity, intraneuronal Ca"+ accumulation and inhibition of mitochondrial respiratory chain are other crucial factors that contribute to neuronal damage during the course of MS and experimental MS [23,24].

**HIV-associated disease**
HIV-associated dementia is characterized by severe brain atrophy and neuronal apoptosis in the absence of the direct localization of HIV in neurons. The mechanisms by which a primary infection of glial cells damages neurons are not fully understood, but the release of neurotoxic cytokines and chemokines from activated astrocytes and microglia seems to be important. The presence of chemokine receptors on neurons indicates that they might have a role in neuronal damage by favoring glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity and Ca"+ entry through voltage-dependent Ca"+ channels. In addition, microglia and macrophages activated by HIV seem to damage neurons through the release of neurotoxins such as arachidonic acid, glutamate, tumor necrosis factor-α and interleukin-1 [25].

**Anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects of endocannabinoids**
A unique opportunity to improve inflammation and neurodegeneration simultaneously might be offered by pharmacological agents that can modulate the activity of cannabinoid (CB) receptors (Box 1). Indeed, both CB receptor subtypes, CB"1 and CB"2, are abundantly expressed in neurons and in central and peripheral immune cells, and regulate degeneration and inflammation in diseases of the CNS. Despite the potential benefits of drugs acting on CB receptors, however, their clinical use is hampered mainly because of their psychotropic effects [26].

Progressive characterization of the biochemical machinery that regulates the synthesis, transport and degradation of the endogenous ligands of CB receptors – namely, endocannabinoids – has prompted extensive investigations into the therapeutic effects of agents targeting the ‘endocannabinoid system’ (ECS) in several pathological conditions, including CNS degenerative and inflammatory disorders [27–29]. In this context, we recall that the release of endocannabinoids during neuronal injury might constitute a protective response [30]. Indeed, exogenous and endogenous cannabinoids have been shown to exert neuroprotection in various in vitro and in vivo models of neuronal injury [31,32].

This neuroprotective activity occurs through different mechanisms, including (i) prevention of excitotoxicity by CB"1-receptor-mediated inhibition of glutamate-mediated transmission via the closing of N- and P/Q-type Ca"+ channels; (ii) reduction of Ca"+ influx at both the preand postsynaptic level, followed by inhibition of subsequent noxious cascades; (iii) antioxidant activity, mainly owing to the phenol group of various resorcinol-type cannabinoids; (iv) suppression of the production of tumor necrosis factor-α; (v) activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and protein kinase B pathway; (vi) induction of phosphorylation of extracellular regulated kinases; and (vii) induction of the expression of transcription factors and neurotrophins.

A central point of contention has been, and still remains, the receptor dependency of (endo)cannabinoid neuroprotection, because several not fully defined CB receptors are certainly part of the ECS, and at present we have only a vague idea about the possible interaction of the (endo)cannabinoids with these targets [31,32]. Several elements of the ECS have been characterized so far.
The list of characterized ECS elements often includes the type-1 vanilloid receptor (TRPV1), although this receptor does not strictly belong to the ECS. In fact, TRPV1 has emerged as a key target of the amide N-arachidonoylethanolamine (AEA; also known as 'anandamide') – the most prominent member of the endocannabinoids – to such an extent that AEA is also considered to be a true 'endovanilloid' [33]. Below, we summarize the involvement of ECS components in neurodegenerative disorders.

**Alzheimer’s disease**
Stimulation of CB1, CB2, and non-CB1 or non-CB2 receptors (such as that obtained with cannabidiol) prevents microglial activation and microglia-mediated neurotoxicity and neurodegeneration in experimental models of AD [34]. Similar effects can be achieved by increasing endogenous levels of endocannabinoids through inhibition of the cellular uptake of AEA (by compounds that we term ‘AMT inhibitors’) [35] (Table 1).

**Parkinson’s disease**
Degeneration of dopamine neurons during experimental PD can be reduced by agonists of CB1, CB2, and non-CB1 or non-CB2 receptors – an effect that involves modulating the interactions between glial cells and neurons [36]. CB1 receptors, however, also exert detrimental effects on dopamine cell survival by potentiating the toxic effects of the TRPV1 agonist capsaicin [37]. It is thus conceivable that endocannabinoids such as AEA, which activates both TRPV1 and CB1 receptors [33], might contribute to PD pathophysiology by favoring apoptosis of dopamine neurons.

**Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis**
Pharmacological agonists of CB receptors and increased levels of endocannabinoids, obtained through genetic ablation of fatty acid amidase hydrolase (FAAH) [38], exert robust anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects in hSOD1G93A mice, delaying disease progression [39,40]. The neuroprotective effects observed in hSOD1G93A mice after pharmacological and genetic augmentation of endocannabinoids levels seem to be selectively dependent on stimulation of the CB2 receptor; by contrast, activation of the CB1 receptor has a negative influence on motor neuron survival [40].

**Multiple sclerosis**
The use of cannabis-based medicine for the treatment of MS has a long history, and has been recently reviewed [41].
In models of experimental MS, stimulation of CB1 and of CB2 receptors has been shown to be beneficial against the inflammatory process [42,43], lending support to early findings showing that individuals with MS experience a reduction in the frequency of relapses when smoking marijuana [44]. Anti-inflammatory effects have also been reported in experimental MS in response to pharmacological AMT inhibitors, which can increase levels of AEA [45,46]. Interestingly, stimulation of CB1 receptors also ensures neuroprotection in mice with experimental MS [47].

HIV-associated dementia
Cannabinoids have been proposed to exert beneficial effects in HIV-associated dementia, owing to their ability to modulate microglia activation [48]; however, the absence of reliable animal models of this disorder prevents direct exploration of this possibility.

Therapeutic potential of ECS-targeting drugs in CNS disorders
Although still at its infancy, exploration of the therapeutic effects of drugs targeting endocannabinoid metabolism is increasingly encouraging with evidence showing altered levels of endocannabinoids in several pathological conditions of the CNS [26–29]. There are now several examples of the successful use of ECS-directed drugs to alleviate the clinical symptoms of degenerative and inflammatory neurological diseases in animal models [36,49] (Table 1).

Promising results have been reported with AMT inhibitors such as AM404 (see Chemical names), VDM11 and UCM707. Indeed, treatment with AM404 reduces the neurochemical defects associated with neurodegenerative damage, but this action seems to be due to the synthesis of new AEA after the direct activation of TRPV1 by AM404, rather than to AMT inhibition. Furthermore, AM404 also inhibits purified cyclooxygenase-1 and cyclooxygenase-2 – and thus prostaglandin synthesis – in activated macrophages [50]. Therefore, AM404 might also directly curb the inflammatory component of neurological disorders. Administration of AM404 or VDM11 has been shown to reduce significantly the frequency of spontaneous glutamate-mediated activity recorded from striatal neurons in an experimental model of PD, thereby exerting anti-excitotoxic effects. Notably, another AMT inhibitor, UCM707, significantly protects mice against the excitotoxin kainic acid. Similarly, systemic administration of AM404 improves akinesia and sensorimotor orientation – two anti-parkinsonian effects. Indeed, it has been found that administration of AM404 and VDM11 in mice suffering from chronic relapsing experimental allergic encephalomyelitis, a model of MS, markedly ameliorates spasticity.

Several studies have provided strong evidence that FAAH, owing to its broad distribution, might represent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Effect on ECS</th>
<th>Therapeutic activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM404</td>
<td>AMT inhibitor</td>
<td>Improvement of hyperkinesia and sensorimotor orientation in animal models of PD; amelioration of spasticity in animal models of MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCM707</td>
<td>AMT inhibitor</td>
<td>Improvement of hyperkinesia and sensorimotor orientation in animal models of PD; amelioration of spasticity in animal models of MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL135</td>
<td>FAAH inhibitor</td>
<td>Decrease in pain sensation in hyperalgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03841</td>
<td>DAGL inhibitor</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Throughout this review, the phrase ‘AMT inhibitor’ is used to designate a compound that blocks the cellular uptake of anandamide.
*AM404 can also act as a TRPV1 agonist or cyclooxygenase-1 or cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor.
dissect the contribution of 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) logical profiles on CB1, CB2 and TRPV1 receptors; thus, context. Indeed, AEA and 2-AG have distinct pharmacological over the other depending on the clinical inhibitors might be crucial to design therapeutic strategies. Similarly, AM374, another FAAH inhibitor, has been shown to exert potent neuroprotective effects in vivo and in vitro by enhancing CB1-dependent activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase [51]. The advantage of these compounds is that they do not seem to induce the side-effects common to typical agonists of CB receptors, such as hypomotility, catalepsy or hypothermia, because they do not interact directly with CB receptors. Furthermore, another selective and powerful FAAH inhibitor that has been used for the treatment of pathological states is OL135 – a reversible α-keto heterocyclic inhibitor that enhances AEA-induced analgesia in vivo.

A recent study has demonstrated that the high level of interferon-γ in the CNS in mice affected with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), a model of MS, disrupts endocannabinoid-mediated neuroprotection, although functional CB receptors are maintained [52]. Therefore, this study provides additional support for the concept that the balance between inflammation and neurodegeneration has considerable bearing on the endocannabinoid tone, and thus favors the use of FAAH inhibitors to treat the inflammatory and neurodegenerative damage associated with pathological conditions such as MS.

By contrast, the recent discovery of potent and specific inhibitors of diacylglycerol lipase (DAGL), such as O3841 [53] (Table 1), suggests that it could become possible to dissect the contribution of 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) and that of AEA to neurological disorders. In addition, there is active search for selective inhibitors of monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL): the availability of such inhibitors might be crucial to design therapeutic strategies based on the preferential recruitment of one endocannabinoid over the other depending on the clinical context. Indeed, AEA and 2-AG have distinct pharmacological profiles on CB1, CB2 and TRPV1 receptors; thus, it can be anticipated that differential modulation of endocannabinoid levels might be indicated in distinct diseases. In general terms, the higher affinity of 2-AG versus AEA for CB1 receptors [54], coupled with the fact that AEA but not 2-AG binds to TRPV1 [33], suggests that agents that can modulate 2-AG levels within the CNS could be more suitable for treating diseases in which the neurodegenerative aspect prevails over inflammation. Stimulation of CB1 receptors, in fact, reduces neurotransmitter release at excitatory synapses and exerts clear anti-excitotoxic effects [30]. By contrast, stimulation of TRPV1 favors inflammation [27]; as a result, modulation of AEA-dependent activation of vanilloid receptors is likely to be more effective for treatment of the inflammatory component of neurodegenerative diseases [55]. These ideas can be formulated into a working model of the modulation of degeneration and inflammation in the CNS by the ECS (Figure 2).

In addition, 2-AG might further control the balance between neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation at the peripheral level, where CB2 receptors are most abundant [54]. Unlike AEA, which is a weak partial agonist for CB2 receptors, 2-AG fully activates these receptors [56] and regulates the release and function of cytokines [55]. As a result, drugs directed towards DAGL or MAGL might be better suited to modulate the contribution of peripheral cells to neurodegenerative disorders. In the same context, it should be kept in mind that essential activities of 2-AG that are independent of those of AEA are emerging in both the CNS [57] and the periphery [58]; therefore, an understanding of MAGL and DAGL regulation and the role of these lipases in maintaining the endocannabinoid tone in vivo is of utmost importance, as it has been for N-acylphosphatidylethanolamine (NAPE)-specific phospholipase D (NAPE-PLD) [59] and FAAH [60,61] with respect to AEA. Notably, it seems that our hypothesis that a balance between CB receptors and TRPV1 modulates the dual nature of neurological diseases finds a nice parallel in pathological pain sensation, where it has been demonstrated that TRPV1 functions to oppose CB-receptor-dependent effects [62].
Cannabis that does not bind to CB1 or CB2 receptors, have as cannabidiol, a major non-psychotropic constituent of pharmaceutical agents. Along this line, novel compounds such as NAPE-PLD, FAAH, AMT, DAGL or MAGL could be modulators of endocannabinoids. Overall, it seems that modulating endocannabinoid metabolism, rather than agonizing or antagonizing CB and non-CB receptors, might be the way to observe an effect, and thus to minimize the possible psychotropic side-effects of endocannabinoids when they are used as pharmaceutical agents. Along this line, novel compounds such as cannabidiol, a major non-psychotropic constituent of cannabis that does not bind to CB1 or CB2 receptors, have promising anti-convulsive, anti-anxiety and anti-psychotic properties [54]. The mechanism of action of cannabidiol relies on AMT and FAAH inhibition, and on antioxidative properties; as a result, this natural drug might be a lead compound for the development of therapeutics against the inflammatory component of neurodegenerative disorders.

Lastly, it seems necessary to recall that, first, several endogenous endocannabinoids or endocannabinoid-like compounds, whose functions are not understood, are present in our body, and their biological activity might be affected in unexpected ways by drugs that modulate known or unknown proteins of the ECS; and second, metabolic enzymes have been recently identified that hydrolyze AEA analogs such as N-palmitoylethanolamine [63] and that catalyze novel biosynthesis [64] or hydrolysis [65] pathways of AEA, and it remains to be elucidated how these pathways might contribute to the overall tone and biological activity of endocannabinoids.
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Chemical names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM374: palmitoylsulfonyl fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM404: N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-arachidonamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3841: octadec-9-enoic acid 1-methoxymethyl-2-(fluoro-methyl-phosphinoyloxy)-ethyl ester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL135: 1-oxo-[1-[5-(2-pyridyl)-2-yl]-7-phenylheptane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCM707: N-(3-furylmethyl)-arachidonamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UR857: cyclohexylcarbamic acid 3’-carbamoyl-biphenyl-3-yl-ester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDM11: N-(4-hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)-arachidonamide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concluding remarks and future perspectives
The role of the ECS in regulating brain activity during physiological and pathological conditions is emerging. For example, control of the cellular activity of AEA seems to be largely dependent on its hydrolysis by FAAH, rather than on its synthesis by Ca2+-dependent N-acyltransferase or NAPE-PLD. Overall, it seems that modulating endocannabinoid metabolism, rather than agonizing or antagonizing CB and non-CB receptors, might be the way to understand better the pathophysiological implications of these bioactive lipids and to exploit them for therapeutic purposes [27–29]. If not therapeutic agents per se, inhibitors of NAPE-PLD, FAAH, AMT, DAGL or MAGL could be used together with AEA or 2-AG analogs to lower the doses or to shorten the treatment necessary in vivo to observe an effect, and thus to minimize the possible psychotropic side-effects of endocannabinoids when they are used as pharmaceutical agents. Along this line, novel compounds such as cannabidiol, a major non-psychotropic constituent of cannabis that does not bind to CB1 or CB2 receptors, have promising anti-convulsive, anti-anxiety and anti-psychotic properties [54]. The mechanism of action of cannabidiol relies on AMT and FAAH inhibition, and on antioxidative properties; as a result, this natural drug might be a lead compound for the development of therapeutics against the inflammatory component of neurodegenerative disorders.

Lastly, it seems necessary to recall that, first, several endogenous endocannabinoids or endocannabinoid-like compounds, whose functions are not understood, are present in our body, and their biological activity might be affected in unexpected ways by drugs that modulate known or unknown proteins of the ECS; and second, metabolic enzymes have been recently identified that hydrolyze AEA analogs such as N-palmitoylethanolamine [63] and that catalyze novel biosynthesis [64] or hydrolysis [65] pathways of AEA, and it remains to be elucidated how these pathways might contribute to the overall tone and biological activity of endocannabinoids.
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